

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,472 11/02/2001 Daniel Knapp			381	5291	
2292	7590 07/15/2003				
	EWART KOLASCH &	· EXAMINER ·			
PO BOX 74' FALLS CHU	7 JRCH, VA 22040-0747	PRASAD, CHANDRIKA			
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2839		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003

•							<i>`\</i> b\'	J	
				Application	No.		Applicant(s)		
	011:	A (' O	10/002,472						
	Offic	Action Summary		Examiner			Art Unit		
				Chandrika F			2839		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)⊠	Respons	ive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>02 /</u>	lovember 20	<u>01</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action	on is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ Thi	is action is no	on-fina	ıl.			
3)									
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>									
4)⊠	Claim(s)	1-48 is/are pending in the	application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers									
9)🖾 ¯	The specifi	ication is objected to by th	e Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
a)L		- ,							
		tified copies of the priority tified copies of the priority					on No		
	_					• •		Stago	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
14)∏ A	cknowledg	gment is made of a claim t	for domestic	c priority und	er 35 l	U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment	• •				_				
2) Notice	e of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (F sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		5	) 🔲 N		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT		
I.S. Patent and Tr			065 4-4	tion Summon		<del></del>	Part of Panor No. 4		

Application/Control Number: 10/002,472 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

. . .

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the minimum bend radius" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15 and 18-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gatica et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,472

Art Unit: 2839

Gatica (Figures 1-29) shows a slack management system 10 for optical fiber cables having a plurality of radius guides 16, 18 mounted on a circuit board 12 wherein each guide has a leading edge, a trailing edge, a central curved portion approximately equal to the bend radius, a plurality of notches at the leading and trailing edges, and a foot to attach to the circuit board. Clips 120, 122 are used to elevate the cables above the circuit board. A tensioning assembly 58 is provided between the radius guides. The leading edge is closer to one side of the circuit board whereas the trailing edge is closer to the other side of the board. The guide could accommodate multi-fiber ribbon cable. A shorter cable between the guides will be tauter whereas a longer cable will have a slack between the radius guides. The cables are bent parallel as well as in a direction normal to the board to loop through the guides and tensioning apparatus. The cables are supported at at least two points on the board.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 13-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatica et al.

Gatica shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 6 above except the use of leaf spring in the tensioning apparatus. Gatica discloses a plurality of mechanisms to provide tension to an optical fiber cable including mechanical means

Application/Control Number: 10/002,472

Art Unit: 2839

as disclosed by Gatica.

such as a leaf spring or balance beam. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use a mechanical means such as a leaf spring to provide tension because this would provide a much cheaper and simpler, although not that accurate means for generating tension in the optical fiber

9. Claims 6 and 8 0are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatica et al. in view of Below et al.

Gatica shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 6 above except the use of cross arms near the notch. Such a feature is well known in the art of optical fiber and electrical connectors for managing cables. Below (Figures 2-3) shows such a configuration. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide such a configuration to notches in the Gatica's radius guides because this would provide a means to prevent the cables from coming out of the notches as shown by Below.

#### Contact Information

10. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (receptionist)

Page 4

2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia

Art Unit: 2839

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (703) 308-0977.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached at (703) 308-2710. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9318 (general) and (703) 872-9319 for after-final.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or processing should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Chandrika Prasad Patent examiner July 8, 2003